IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

VIRNETX INC.	§	
	§	Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-855-RWS
Plaintiff,	§	LEAD CONSOLIDATED CASE
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
APPLE INC.	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions provided by the Court in the Court's jury instructions. Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

As used herein, "'135 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,502,135; "'151 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151; "'504 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,418,504; "'211 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211.

1. Apple does not contest that the Original Version of VPN on Demand feature (iOS 3-6, 2009–2013) infringed VirnetX's '135 & '151 patents. What sum of money do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate VirnetX for this infringement?

Amount: \$ 334,908,773.73

2. Did VirnetX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's 2013 Version of VPN on Demand feature (iOS 7–8, 2013–present) infringes the following Claims of VirnetX's '135 & '151 patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

'135 Patent		'151 Patent	<u>'151 Patent</u>		
Claim 1 Claim 7	yes	Claim 13	yes		

3. Did VirnetX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's Original Version of the FaceTime System (iOS 4–6 and OS X 10.7–10.8, 2010–2013) infringed the following Claims of VirnetX's '504 & '211 patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

'504 Patent	<u>'211 Pat</u>	<u>'211 Patent</u>		
Claim 1 Ves Claim 2 Ves Claim 5 Ves Claim 27 Ves	Claim 30 Claim 4' Claim 5	7 Ves		

4. Did VirnetX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's 2013 Version of the FaceTime System (iOS 7–8 and OS X 10.9–10.10, 2013–present) infringes the following Claims of VirnetX's '504 & '211 patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

'504 Patent		<u>'211 Patent</u>	<u>'211 Patent</u>		
Claim 1 Claim 2 Claim 5 Claim 27	yes yes yes	Claim 36 Claim 47 Claim 51	yes yes yes		

5. Did VirnetX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's iMessage feature infringes the following Claims of VirnetX's '504 & '211 patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

<u>'504 Pater</u>	<u>nt</u>	<u>'211 Patent</u>	
Claim 1 Claim 2 Claim 5 Claim 27	yes yes yes	Claim 36 Claim 47 Claim 51	yes yes yes

Answer Question 6 only if you answered "yes" for any of Questions 2, 3, 4, or 5 above. Otherwise, do not answer this question.

6. To the extent you found infringement in Questions 2, 3, 4, or 5, what <u>additional</u> sum of money over and above what you awarded in response to Question 1, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate VirnetX for this infringement through the time of trial?

Amount: \$ 290,725.067.31

Answer Question 7 only for those Claims you answered "yes" in Question 2 above. Otherwise, do not answer this question.

7. To the extent you found infringement of Apple's 2013 Version of VPN on Demand (iOS 7–8, 2013–present) in Question 2 above, did VirnetX prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

<u>'135 Patent</u>		<u>'151 Patent</u>	'151 Patent	
Claim 1 Claim 7	yes yes	Claim 13	yes	

Answer Question 8 only for those Claims you answered "yes" in Question 3 above. Otherwise, do not answer this question.

8. To the extent you found infringement of Apple's Original Version of the FaceTime system (iOS 4–6 and OS X 10.7–10.8, 2010–2013) in Question 3 above, did VirnetX prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement was willful from the prior verdict, November 6, 2012, until April 2013?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

<u>'504 Patent</u>		<u>'2</u>	'211 Patent	
Claim 1 Claim 2 Claim 5 Claim 27	yes yes yes	Cl	laim 36 laim 47 laim 51	yes yes yes

Answer Question 9 only for those Claims you answered "yes" in Question 4 above. Otherwise, do not answer this question.

9. To the extent you found infringement of Apple's 2013 Version of the FaceTime system (iOS 7–8 and OS X 10.9–10.10, 2013–present) in Question 4 above, did VirnetX prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

<u>'504 Patent</u>	<u>'211 Patent</u>		
Claim 1 465 Claim 2 465 Claim 27 465 Claim 27	Claim 36 Claim 47 Claim 51	yes yes yes	

Date: <u>February</u> 3, 2015

By: